Cosa County Jailetal, 3 RECEIVED The plaintiff would life to the metality of the plaintiff was traveled to Clay County and Jailet and Clay County and Jailet and Ja	Cosa County Jailetal, 3 RECEIVED MS JUN-3 A 9 US The plaintiff would life to introduction on a written objection to be programmed at a surface of the plaintiff has no harger against the plaintiff has no harger against them is lay county the plaintiff has no harger against them is lay county the plaintiff has no harger against them is lay county the plaintiff has now the plaintiff has possible the feacen the defendants had him move was because the defendants had him move was because the defendants had have the plaintiff them I have would have the plaintiff themselve back to coosa county the plaintiff themselve and pray I had is throught county will into consideration their objection.		wid Wayne OAtes	3		••• _{••}
The plaintiff would life to the plaintiff the Taylor against the Santiff was transfer to Clay County and June Cook County Tail because of this Walls (S. & 1983 action the plaintiff has no Charges against him in Clay County. He is been also the short feels like the season the defendants had lim move was because the defendants had him move was because the claim would have the plaintiff transfer that I cook of county the plaintiff transfer that I cook out the plaintiff transfer that I cook of cook county the plaintiff transfer that I cook of cook county the plaintiff transfer that I cook of cook county the plaintiff transfer that I cook of cook county the plaintiff transfer that I consideration the objection.	The plaintiff wald life to the plaintiff was Laufer to Clay County Town agest with the Daintiff was because at this Wall August action the plaintiff has no Character against them is Clay County the stantiff leads lite the season the Defendants had lead the stantiff leads lite the season the Defendants had lim move was because the Defendants had lim move was because the defendants had the plaintiff them they would have about the plaintiff them they would have the plaintiff them they would have about the plaintiff them t		U	3 Civil A	Action No. 210	05-CV-416-F
The plaintiff would life to the properties of the plaintiff was transfer to Clay County Tudge's Recommendation. The plaintiff was transfer to Clay County Town Coose County Town Decause of this 42 U.S.C. 51983 action the plaintiff tow no Charge against them in Clay County the plaintiff passes for Coose County. The plaintiff passes for Coose County the plaintiff passes was because the character town the plaintiff passes and the coose County the plaintiff passes and pray that is through the plaintiff passes and pray that is through town the plaintiff passes and pray that is through town the consideration the objection.	The plaintiff would life to the plaintiff or Tudge's Recommendation. The plaintiff was transfer to Clay County Town Cook County Town because of this 42 U.S. \$1983 action the plaintiff has no Charge against time in Clay County the plaintiff feels like the Jeauen the Defendants had him move was because the defendants had him move was because the dismissed this the plaintiff transfer that the plaintiff hopes and pray that is throught transfer took to cook County the plaintiff hopes and pray that is throught transfer that to cook County the plaintiff hopes and pray that is throught to consideration their objection.	(00	sa County Jail, eta	1., 3		RECEIVED
The plaintiff was transfer to Clay County Judge, Recommendation. The plaintiff was transfer to Clay County Jail Jum Coose Count Tail because of this 42 U.S.C. \$1983 action the plaintiff has no hauges against them in Clay County the is beening louse for Coose County. The plaintiff feels like the recum the defendants had him move was because the chipanelout trees that the recum the defendants had the plaintiff transfer that to coosa County the plaintiff transfer that to coosa County the plaintiff transfer that to consideration their abjection.	The plaintiff world lite to Magistration of the Nagistration of the Nagistration. Judge's Recommendation. The plaintiff was trungle to Clay County Town Decause of this year of this year against the plaintiff has no harpy against them in Clay County. The plaintiff has no been the plaintiff has been the plaintiff has been the plaintiff has been the plaintiff has been the plaintiff the reason the defendants had have the plaintiff there would dismissed this year the plaintiff there are they would have the plaintiff there are the plaintiff there are and pray that is thorough tower will into consideration their objection.					2005 JUN - 3 A C.
Judges Recommendation. The Saintiff was truster to Clay County Jail from Coose County Tril Decause of this 42 U.SC. \$1983 action. The plaintiff has no harges against them in Clay County. He is beening truse for Cooser County. The Plaintif Peels lite the reason the Defendants hard Kim move was because the defendants hard Kim move was because the defendants Knew Ital the would dismissed this press Action and then they would have the plaintiff truncter back to coosa County the plaintiff truncter back to coosa County the plaintiff harpers and pray I had iso Knowsbe Court will into Consideration their objection.	Judge's Recommendation. The plaintiff was transfer to Clay County Tail from Coose County Tail because at this 42 U.SC. \$1983 action the plaintiff has no harars against them in Clay County. The plaintiff less becomes towns for Coose County. The plaintiff him move was because the classificant had him move was because the classificant them they would have the plaintiff transfer back to Coose County the plaintiff transfer and plaintiff transfer and plaintiff transfer and the plaintiff transfer and plai		The plain till	6) Och (1)	Dil a Li	UBBRALIA.
The Saintiff was transfer to Clay County Soil from Coose County Toil because of this 42 U.SC. \$1983 action the plaintiff has 100 Charges against him in Clay County. He is Dearning house fore Coose County. The shaintiff feels like the reason the defendants had Nim move was because the dismissed this They that the would dismissed this E183 Action and then they would have the plaintiff transfer back to coose County The plaintiff houses and group that is thought ourt will into consideration the objection.	Jan Jam Life was tracter to Clay County Jan Jam Coose County Jan Decause and this 42 U.SC. \$1983 action the plaintiff has no harays against them is Clay County. He is Decoming Louise fors Coose County. The staintiff leads lite the reason the defendants had him move was because the defendants had then they would have 1883 Action and then they would have the plaintiff transfer back to Coosa Count the plaintiff harves and ground that iso through tourt will into consideration their objection.	Cs	unista object		The Mic	MINDLE DISTRICT COURT
Sail from Coose County Teal Because of this 42 U.SC. & 1983 action. The plaintiff has no Charges against him in Clay County. He is Deeming house for Coose County. The Shintif lests lite the secure the defendants had him more was because the defendants had then that the would dismissed this 283 Action and then they would have the plaintiff themselves and gray that is throughly hopes and gray that is throughly will into consideration their objection.	Jail from Coose County Tried because of this 42 U.SC. \$1983 action. The plaintiff has no Charges against them in Clay County. He is Decrine house for Coose County. The plaintiff feels lite the reason the defendants had him move was because the defendant have then that the would dismissed this 283 Action that then they would have the plaintiff transfer back to Coosa County The plaintiff hopes and prom that is throught ourt will into consideration their objection.	150	udge's Recommendo	ation.		C
Sail from Coose County Teal Because of this 42 U.SC. & 1983 action. The plaintiff has no Charges against him in Clay County. He is Deeming house for Coose County. The I faintif feels lite the secure the defendants had him move was because the defendants had known that the would dismissed this 283 Action and then they would have the plaintiff themselves and gray that is throughly hopes and gray that is throughly will into consideration their objection.	Cail from Coose County Tril because of this 42 U.SC. \$1983 action. The plaintiff has no Charges against them in Clay County. He is beening house for Coose County. The plaintiff feels lite the reason the defendants had him move was because the defendants had then they would dismissed this 283 Action and then they would have the plaintiff transfer back to Coosa County The plaintiff hopes and prom that is throught ourt will into consideration their objection.					
Hay U.SC. \$1983 action the plaintiff has no harges against them in Clay County He is been been house for Coosa County. The plaintiff has been been been been been been been bee	Hay U.SC. £1983 action. The plaintiff has no harges against him in Clay County. He is Deening Louse for Cooser County. The staintiff leads lite the reason the defendants had him move was because the chipendant have the plaintiff them they would have the plaintiff them they would have the plaintiff hopes and gray that is thought hopes and gray that is thought ourt will into consideration their objection.				N = N = N	
harges against them in Clay County. He is beening house for Cooser townly. The staintif leels like the reason the defendants had him move was because the clopendant thew that the would dismissed this cress took the would have the plaintiff transfer back to cooser Coun the plaintiff hopes and pray that is thorable court will into consideration their objection.	harges against them in Clay County. He is been house for Cooser County. The Plaintiff leels like the reason the defendants had him move was because the defendant then that the would dismissed this cross Action that then they would have the plaintiff transfer back to coosa Count is the plaintiff hapes and group that is thoroable Court will into consideration their objection.		11000000	1 41 1	1 . 1 . 1	\circ
Deening house for Coosa County. The skintil leads like the reason the defendants had him move was because the defendants had them show that they would have the plaintiff transfer back to coosa Country the plaintiff hopes and group that iso through court will into consideration their objection.	been like the reason the defendants had him move was because the defendants had then show the would dismissed this 183 Action that then they would have the plaintiff thouse and pray that is through town the plaintiff hopes and pray that is through town will into consideration their objection.	10	. 11		1000	1
feels like the heaven the defendants had him move was because the dispendant them that the would dismissed this 183 Action and then they would have the plaintiff themses and pray shat is thought lourst will into consideration this objection.	feels like the reason the defendants had him move was because the defendant thew that the would dismissed this 1883. Action that then they would have the plaintiff transfer back to coosa coun The plaintiff horses and group that iso Knowble Court will into consideration this objection.	The second	0 / 0	^	1	ha ObiitiOl
thew I had the would dismissed this (183 Action and then they would have the plaintiff thorses and group that iso thorough tower will into consideration this objection.	then I had the would dismissed this (183. Action And then they would have the plaintiff thomas and gray that iso Knowske Court will into consideration their objection.		A			Don'ts had
1983 Action And Iron Alley would have the plaintiff transfer back to coosa Court has broadle court will into consideration this objection.	1983. Action And From they would have the plaintiff transfer back to Coosa Counties the plaintiff hopes and gray that is through Court will into consideration their objection.	Ri	nous was	because	2 she	elencland on
the plaintiff transfer back to coosa Court he plaintiff hopes and gray I had is throughly lourst will into consideration the objection.	the plaintiff transfer OACK to Coosa Countre plaintiff hopes and group I hat is Knowble Court will into consideration their objection.	111		yould	<u>climic</u>	sed Alis
is thoroble Court will into consideration their objection.	The plaintiff hopes and group that is throughly will into consideration this objection.		_ // . / / / /		Hirey Wou	
lies Monorable Court will into consideration their objection.	is Knorable Court will into Consideration this objection.	2.4		A A 11)	WACK to	
objection.	objection.	lis	. 1	1111	, <i>/</i> ~	A Shar
		00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	o concarate	in the second
Avid W. Oates	Avid W. Oates		<u></u>			
Avid W. Oates	Avid W. Oates					
Avid W. Oates	Avid W. Oates			The state of the s	340,4	
1) SAOID W. C DITES	Alord W. Cates					N.J.
				The state of the s	JAVICA W. C	ptes